

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Rox 1950
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	iO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/961,319		09/25/2001	Misako Suwa	826.1757	5814	
21171	7590	02/06/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	IGTON, D	•		2163		
				DATE MAILED: 02/06/2000	DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/961,319	SUWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marc R. Filipczyk	2163					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No.	ovember 2005.						
·— ·=====	•						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,5,6,9,10,12,13,16	<u>,17,19 and 20</u> is/are withdrawn fi	om consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,7,8,11,14,15,18 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to Applicant's RCE and amendment filed November 14, 2005.

To expedite the process of examination Examiner requests that all future correspondences in regard to overcoming prior art rejections or other issues (e.g. amendments, 35 U.S.C. 112, objections and the like) set forth by the Examiner that Applicants provide and link to the most specific page and line numbers of the disclosure where the best support is found (see 35 U.S.C. 132).

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/14/05 has been entered.

Claims 1, 4, 7, 8, 11, 14, 15, 18 and 21-24 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4, 7, 8, 11, 14, 15, 18 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2163

Regarding claims 1, 8, 15 and 22. The step of "reading as an image a document printed on a paper in which a note is taken" is indefinite. It is not clear if the note is taken before or after the image is read.

Regarding claims 23 and 24, the preamble "A method comprising" is indefinite. A method cannot perform computer steps without the use of a computer.

Further regarding claim 23, the term "allowing" is indefinite. Allowing does not include performing any function/step.

Regarding claims 4, 7, 11, 14, 18 and 21 depend from claims 1, 8 and 15 respectively, and therefore contain the deficiencies of those claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 7, 8, 11, 14, 15, 18 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ching (U.S. Patent No. 6,533,168) in view of Takeda et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,748,678).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 15 and 22-24 Ching discloses a method, program and system for electronically managing a note taken in a paper document printed from an "electronic document

Art Unit: 2163

not including the note" (hereafter, original document) when the document is printed and used by a person, comprising: (figures 1a and 3, item 304, and col. 4, lines 9-14, Ching)

reading as an image a document printed on a paper in which a note is taken; (col. 4, lines 9-14, 17 and 18, Ching)

extracting information about the note from the read image of the document printed on the paper and a note handwritten on the paper; (col. 4, lines 9-14, Ching)

recognizing a character written in the image data of the note; (col. 10, lines 32-41, Ching) searching (*purchaser*, fig. 9, item 903, Ching) contents of the electronic document and the recognition result (*database*, fig. 9, item 912, Ching) in accordance with a search keyword input from a user (*purchased request*, fig. 9), and displaying a search result; (fig. 1b, item 112 and fig. 9, Ching)

(Note 1: database includes contents of electronic document and the recognition result, see support [col. 4, lines 14-24, lines 49-54, col. 10, lines 39-42 and col. 14, lines 3-9, Ching])
(Note 2: information is stored in database for retrieval/search)

correlating and electronically storing the information about the note with the read image (col. 4, lines 14-17, and col. 10, lines 32-43, Ching) but does not explicitly teach correlating the original document with the information about the note and that the image data of the note is obtained by taking a difference between the original document and the read image.

However, Takeda teaches storing and retrieving image system that correlates an original document with information about a note (figures 7, 8, 11 and col. 9, lines 3-20, Takeda) and obtaining an image of the note by taking the difference between the original document and the read image (figures 7 and 8, Takeda).

Art Unit: 2163

Hence, having Ching system correlating information about the note with the read image (col. 10, lines 40-42, Ching), it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to correlate the information about the note with the original document as done in Takeda. One would have been motivated to store the correlation information about the note and the original document to keep track of the note and the related original document to avoid storing the read image which includes the original document with a note.

Further, having Ching system, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to obtain an image of the note by taking a difference between the original document (receipt) and the read image (receipt with note) as done in Takeda (figures 7 and 8, Takeda). One would have been motivated to take the difference between the receipt and the receipt with a note to exclusively store the image of the note for future processing.

(Note: obtaining an image of the note by taking the difference between the original document and the read image is shown in fig. 7 and supported by location attributes in fig. 8 of Takeda)

Regarding claims 4, 11 and 18, Ching/Takeda disclose the original document, a note image and a recognition result of the hand written note image are correlated and electronically stored (figures 7, 8, 11 and col. 9, lines 3-20, Takeda, see also [col. 4, lines 14-24, lines 49-54, col. 10, lines 39-42 and col. 14, lines 3-9, Ching).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 21, Ching/Takeda disclose location information of the note within the printed document (fig. 8, Takeda).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendment and arguments filed on November 14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments and responses are listed above.

Applicant argues on page 7 of the 11/14/05 response that the 35 U.S.C. section 112 par. 2 indefiniteness rejections have been overcome in the presently amended claims.

Examiner disagrees. The time of input of the note into the document is not clear in the claims. See rejections above.

Applicant argues on page 8 of the 11/14/05 response that Takeda and Ching do not teach searching contents of the database based on user input.

Examiner disagrees. Ching system clearly discloses a database wherein contents of documents and annotations wherein notes are taken are associated together are stored in database for later retrieval based on user search. Please see also [col. 4, lines 14-24, lines 49-54, col. 10, lines 39-42 and col. 14, lines 3-9, and figures, Ching).

No other arguments have been raised.

With respect to all the pending claims 1, 4, 7, 8, 11, 14, 15, 18 and 21-24, Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's assertion based on the discussion cited above.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,319 Page 7

Art Unit: 2163

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc R. Filipczyk whose telephone number is (571) 272-4019.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

MF

January 26, 2006

FRANTZCOBY

PRIMARY EXAMINER